

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/902,924	LAU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	

All Participants:

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

(3) _____.

(2) Sandra Thompson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Primary Examiner
 Art Unit 1711

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' attorney was contacted to correct the Description of the Drawing as in applicants' preamendment since the preamendment did not specifically give instructions as to where and how the Description was to be amended and in any case was not entered. Applicants' attorney agreed to correct the Drawing description by Examiner's amendment. .